arXiv:1403.3960v1 [math.DG] 16 Mar 2014

# INJECTIVITY RADIUS BOUND AND GOOD LEAF FOLIATION IN 3-MANIFOLDS

LI MA

Abstract. In this short note, we study the injectivity radius bound for three dimensional complete and non-compact Riemannian manifold with good leaf foliations and with bounded curvature up to first order. We obtain the injectivity bound by using the minimal surface theory and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

**Mathematics Subject Classification 2000**: 53Cxx,35Jxx

**Keywords**: injectivity radius, foliation of mean curvature convexity, minimal surfaces

## 1. main result and its proof

In order to use Hamilton's Cheeger-Gromov convergence theorem of Ricci flows, we need to know the uniform injectivity radius lower bound of the underlying Riemannian metrics. That is to say, we need to handle the local collapsing of a family of Riemannian manifolds. Generally speaking, this is a hard work. However, there are two most useful approaches to this goal. One is to find the volume lower bound via the use of results in the paper of Cheeger-Gromov-Taylor in 1982 [2]. The other is to use Perelman's W-functional [6] on Riemannian manifolds.

In this note, we propose the minimal surface method on three dimensional complete non-compact Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ with bounded curvature up to first order and with a "good leaf foliation". Here, the bounded curvature up to first order just means that both curvature and its covariant derivatives of the curvature are bounded. The concept "good leaf foliation" is defined below.

**Definition 1.** *We say $M$ has a "good leaf foliation" if there is a proper function $f : M \to [0, \infty)$ such that $\Omega_a = \{f \leq a\}$ expanding $M$ as $a \to \infty$ and for almost all $a \geq 0$, the leaf $\{f(x) = a\}$ has positive mean curvature (or the so called mean curvature convexity ).*

Such a foliation may be called a "mean curvature convexity foliation" since it also occurs in the study of mean curvature flow. In history, mean curvature convexity (or the convexity) plays an important role in geometry [1]. See also the recent work of M.Gromov [3].

The below is our main result.

The research is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (N0.11271111).

**Theorem 2.** *Let $(M, g)$ be a three dimensional simply connected complete non-compact Riemannian manifold with bounded curvature up to first order and with a "good leaf foliation". Then there a uniform constant $b > 0$ such that $injrad(M, g) \geq b$.*

The proof is to use the minimal surface theory on three dimensional Riemannian manifolds and the main argument is along that of in [4] but simpler.

*Proof.* (of Theorem 2). We argue by contradiction.

Assume that we have uniform lower bound of the injectivity radius on $(M, g)$. That is to say, there are $r_j := injrad(x_j, g) \to 0$ for some sequence $(x_j) \subset M$ going to infinity. This implies that we can find for each $x_j$ a geodesic loop $\gamma_j$ which may have corner at $x_j$ and $L(\gamma_j, g) \to 0$. We can smooth $\gamma_j$ at $x_j$ and get a approximated geodesic loop, which is still denoted by $\gamma_j$. Then we can span a minimizing disk $D_j$ over the curve $\gamma_j$ (which is the so called Douglas-Morrey minimal surface). The minimal disk $D_j$ can not touch any point in the level set $f(x) = 0$ since it has the property that $\Delta f > 0$ on $f(x) = 0$.

We claim that the minimal disk is between two level sets of $f$ of uniform width, saying the bounded region $\Omega_j$, which is exact the region obtained by two leafs bounding the circle $\gamma_j$. We can say that the region $\Omega_j$ is the region between $f = a_j + L_j$ and $f = a_j$ with $L_j \leq \frac{1}{2}L(\gamma_j, g) \leq 3r_j$. The reason is below. If we have an interior point $p_j \in D_j$ such that $f(p_j) > a_j + L_j$, then setting $m_j := \sup\{c \in f(D_j)\}$, we can use some level set $f(x) = m_j - \epsilon := t_j > a_j + L_j$ to cut from the disk $D_j$ a small loop $\tau_j$ on the leaf $f(x) = t_j$. Using Theorem 1 in the paper of Meeks-Yau [5], we can construct another minimizing disk $B_j$ spanning $\tau_j$ such that $B_j \subset \{f(x) \leq t_j\}$. Note that for $\epsilon > 0$ small, $D_j \bigcap \{f(x) \geq t_j\}$ is a minimal disk. That is to say, we obtain two different minimizing disks spanning the small loop $\tau_j$, which contradicts to the uniqueness result of minimal surface for small enough loops.

We now consider the rescaling metric $g_j := \frac{1}{r_j^2} g$ of the metric $g$ at $x_j$. Recall that $|Rm(g_j)|_{g_j} = r_j^2 |Rm(g)|_g \to 0$ as $j \to \infty$. Then we know by our curvature assumption that the Gromov-Hausdorff limit $(M_\infty, g_\infty, x_\infty)$ of the pointed Riemannian manifolds sequence $(M, g_j, x_j)$ is one of the flat space $R^\times T^2$, and $R^2 \times S^1$. Note that $M_\infty = R^3$ is impossible since $injrad(x_\infty, g_\infty) = 1$. Now the rescaling minimal disk $\hat{D}_j$ of the minimal disk $D_j$ is bounded in a region of maximum diameter no more than two in the metric $g_j$. Hence its limit $D_\infty$ in $(M_\infty, g_\infty, x_\infty)$ is a flat disk. By this we know that the area $A(\hat{D}_j)$ is uniformly bounded. Then we can use the argument in [4] to get a contradiction. For completeness, we repeat it here.

In below we rewrite $D_j$ as $\hat{D}_j$ for simplicity. On the surface $D_j$ with the unit normal vector $N$ and the second fundamental form $A_j$ of $D_j \subset M$, we know that

$$Rc_{g_j}(N, N) + \frac{1}{2}|A_j|^2 = \frac{R(g_j)}{2} - K_j.$$

Here $K_j$ is the Gauss curvature of the minimal surface $D_j$ and $Rc_{g_j}$ and $R(g_j)$ are the Ricci and scalar curvatures of the metric $g_j$ respectively. Then using $Rc_{g_j} \to 0$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2}|A|^2 + K_j \leq \frac{R(g_j)}{2} - Rc_{g_j}(N,N) \to 0$$

and by using the uniform area bound of $D_j$,

$$\int_{D_j} K_j \leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{D_j} R(g_j) \leq \sup_{D_j} |R(g_j) - 2Rc_{g_j}(N,N)|A(D_j) \to 0.$$

Note that $\int_{\tau_j} k_{g_j} \to 0$ where $k(g_j)$ is the geodesic curvature of the almost geodesic loop $\tau_j$.

Recall that the Gauss-Bonnet formula (and here we know that $D_j$'s are embedded)

$$\int_{D_j} K_j + \int_{\tau_j} k_{g_j} = 2\pi,$$

which gives us a contradiction since both terms in left side tend to zero or less than zero as $j \to \infty$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

□

**Remark**. One important example which has the leaf foliation as in the conditions of Theorem 2 is the three dimensional complete non-compact riemannian manifold with bounded and positive Ricci curvature as used in [4]. In the latter case, one simply takes $f$ as the Busemann function defined by a ray.

**Acknowledgement**. This work is done while the author is visiting IHES, France and the authors would like to thank the hospitality of IHES.

## References

[1] M.Berger, *Geometry revealed*, Springer, 2010
[2] B.Chow, P.Lu, L.Ni. *Hamilton's Ricci Flow*. Beijing: Science Press, American Mathematical Society, 2006
[3] M.Gromov, *Plateau-Stein manifolds*, IHES preprint, 2013.
[4] Li Ma, A.Q.Zhu, *Injectivity radius bound of Ricci flow with positive Ricci curvature and applications*, Front. Math. China 2013, 8(5): 1129-1137, DOI 10.1007/s11464-013-0296-8
[5] W.W.Meeks II, S.T.Yau, *The existence of embedded minimal surfaces and the problem of uniqueness*, Math.Z., 179(1982)151-168
[6] Grisha Perelman, *The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications*, http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0211159v1

Department of mathematics, Henan Normal university, Xinxiang, 453007, China

*E-mail address*: lma@tsinghua.edu.cn